

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10  
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 16-00440 WHA

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 YEVGENIY ALEKSANDROVICH  
17 NIKULIN,

**NOTICE RE UNSEALING OF  
ORDER RE SHACKLING OF  
DEFENDANT AT APRIL 17  
HEARING**


18 Defendant.  
19 \_\_\_\_\_/

20 A prior order dated April 18 provided that the order regarding the United States  
21 Marshals Service's request that defendant remain shackled at the April 17 hearing would  
22 "remain under seal until **APRIL 23 AT NOON**, after which the order shall be filed on the public  
23 docket unless one or both parties propose redactions" (Dkt. No. 20). No proposed redactions  
24 were filed by the noon deadline. Defense counsel instead raised an objection to the unsealing of  
25 the April 18 order through an email to the Courtroom Deputy. Counsel's one-sentence  
26 statement of reasons contained in that email fails to set forth good cause for maintaining the  
27 April 18 order under seal. Moreover, objections cannot be made by email. Counsel must file  
28 any objections on the docket in accordance with the Local Rules.

1           Accordingly, the order regarding the Marshals' request will be unsealed and filed on the  
2 public docket unless one or both parties show good cause to maintain sealing by **TOMORROW**  
3 **AT 5 P.M.**

4  
5           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 Dated: April 23, 2018.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE